Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/768,846	MANKE, SCOTT G.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David L. Sorkin	1723
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>David L. Sorkin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James A. Wilke</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 June 2007	Time: 10 AM EDT	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(e) rejection of claims 28 and 29		
Claims discussed: 1-29		
Prior art documents discussed: Armendariz et al. (US 6,945,690)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	•	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
,		
Sit thi		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Sorkin explained that the 14 May 2007 affidavit does not overcome the 102(e) rejection of claims 28 and 29. Mr. Wilke authorized an examiner's amendment canceling claims 28 and 29 to facilitate allowance of the remaining pending claims, claims 1-7 and 14-25.